

# WHERE AND WHAT SPECIALTY DOES THE HEALTH WORKFORCE PRACTICE?

An Examination of the  
Geographic Distribution  
of Primary Care  
Providers

## **Prepared By**

---

Fitzhugh Mullan Institute for Health Workforce Equity  
The George Washington University

## **Suggested Citation**

---

Strasser J, Dewhurst E, Westergaard S. Where and what specialty does the health workforce practice? An examination of the geographic distribution of primary care providers. Fitzhugh Mullan Institute for Health Workforce Equity, George Washington University. May 2022. [www.gwhwi.org/hweseries.html](http://www.gwhwi.org/hweseries.html)

## **Questions**

---

For questions regarding this report, please contact Julia Strasser at [jstrasser@gwu.edu](mailto:jstrasser@gwu.edu).

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

---

This series was partially supported by the Kaiser Permanente Institute for Health Policy.

We would like to thank Philip Alberti, Andrew Bazemore, Shannon Brownlee, Claire Gibbons, Erin Holve, Len Nichols, Luis Padilla, Murray Ross, and Michelle Washko for their review and feedback on both the framework and early drafts of the evidence reviews.

---

202-994-3423  
[gwmi@gwu.edu](mailto:gwmi@gwu.edu)  
[www.gwhwi.org](http://www.gwhwi.org)

**Fitzhugh Mullan  
Institute for Health  
Workforce Equity**

---

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

---

2175 K Street NW  
Suite 250  
Washington, DC 20036

# THE HEALTH WORKFORCE EQUITY EVIDENCE REVIEW SERIES

The Fitzhugh Mullan Institute for Health Workforce Equity defines health equity as *a world in which there is a diverse health workforce that has the competencies, opportunities, and courage to ensure everyone has a fair opportunity to attain their full health potential.*

At least six critically important factors drive health workforce equity, as shown in the figure below. These domains apply to workers across the health care spectrum, including home healthcare, support staff, allied health professionals, public health, physicians, nurses, and many others.

This series reviews existing literature on the nature and magnitude of each problem, the impact of this problem on health equity, and the policies and programs that affect it.

**DOMAIN 3:** There is a chronic maldistribution of clinicians in the United States, both in terms of specialty and geography, that creates serious barriers to access to care for underserved communities. This evidence review focuses specifically on the geographic distribution of primary care providers in rural and other underserved areas.



## The Problem

Health workforce distribution is a pressing and complex issue that moves beyond a “more is better” approach to workforce policy. Although the overall supply of primary care providers is important, distribution accounts not just for supply but also for *location and specialty* since all three elements affect access to health services.

Workforce distribution is categorized in many ways, e.g., age, race, gender, specialty, and location. Recognizing the breadth of distribution challenges, this evidence review focuses specifically on the geographic distribution of primary care providers in rural and other underserved areas. This focus is not designed to negate the importance of other distributional challenges; it is simply a practical starting point.

Geographic maldistribution of primary care providers presents a long-standing challenge in access to care in the United States. Primary care providers – including family medicine (FM), internal medicine (IM), pediatricians, obstetrician/gynecologists (OBGYNs), nurse practitioners (NPs), and physician assistants (PAs) – improve health outcomes and prevent unnecessary health-related costs but are not always located in areas where they are needed.

Rural locations experience many barriers in recruiting, supporting, and retaining an adequate clinician workforce to meet the population's needs. Rural populations often rely on generalists for care, especially given a lack of specialists in these areas.<sup>1-3</sup> While 16% of the U.S. population lives in rural areas, only 8% of primary care providers practice in these areas.<sup>4</sup> The primary care provider to population ratio is 93/100,000 in metropolitan areas, compared to 55/100,000 in non-metropolitan areas.<sup>5</sup> Compounding these disparities are several factors, including an aging healthcare workforce in rural areas,<sup>6</sup> a rural workforce where some providers split time between rural *and* urban settings,<sup>7</sup> and an increase in rural hospital closures.<sup>8</sup> Given these barriers, patients in rural areas travel significant distances to access care: two to three times farther for general care than urban populations<sup>1</sup> and at least 30 additional miles for hospital-based maternity care.<sup>9</sup>

Several system-level and individual factors contribute to this maldistribution. While a minimum population size and financial resources are needed to support the delivery of many health services, healthcare systems tend to favor high-tech and specialty services which generate higher reimbursement.<sup>10</sup> Generally, rural communities offer limited educational, social, cultural, and economic opportunities,<sup>11,12</sup> which may make them less attractive to highly educated individuals, including health practitioners.<sup>7,13</sup> Providers also indicate that income, access to recreation, and spousal job opportunities are important considerations in deciding where to practice.<sup>3,11,12,14-16</sup> In addition, most medical education institutions are located in urban areas, and providers tend to practice in the state where they are trained.<sup>17,18</sup> Locations that have higher rates of uninsured or lower rates of Medicaid reimbursement may be less appealing to providers.<sup>3</sup> Lastly, given the challenges of starting up an independent practice,<sup>19</sup> providers tend to go where practice systems (and practice infrastructure) already exist.<sup>6,19,19</sup> This pattern tends to reinforce a cycle of maldistribution.

## Problem Statement

The primary care workforce tends to reside and practice in well-resourced communities. As a result, the primary care provider to population ratio is 93/100,000 in metropolitan areas, compared to 55/100,000 in non-metropolitan areas, leaving many rural and underserved communities with challenges in accessing care.

## Relationship to Health Equity

The United States consistently ranks poorly on health outcomes, and the relationship between access to primary care providers and health outcomes has been well-documented for over 30 years. Studies from the 1990s found that states with higher ratios of primary care physicians to population demonstrated better health outcomes, including lower rates of all causes of mortality, mortality from heart disease, cancer, or stroke; infant mortality; and low birth weight.<sup>20–22</sup>

More recent studies find that areas with a higher supply of primary care providers have lower mortality, fewer ambulatory case-sensitive condition hospitalizations, and no significant differences in Medicare spending.<sup>22,23</sup> A 2017 study found that just one additional primary care provider per 10,000 population is associated with 83 fewer deaths, 161 fewer hospitalizations, and 712 fewer emergency department visits for the Medicare population.<sup>24</sup> For all age groups, rural counties have significantly higher rates of hospitalization for case-sensitive ambulatory conditions than urban counties.<sup>25</sup> Surveys and qualitative studies also find that rural populations identify access to primary care<sup>26</sup> and distance to primary care<sup>27</sup> as significant barriers.

Worse maternal health outcomes are also associated with the maldistribution of providers. U.S. regions with lower per-population availability of maternal health providers have higher maternal mortality rates than the national average.<sup>28</sup> In addition, women living in maternity care deserts have higher risks of death during pregnancy and up to one year postpartum compared to women in counties with at least one hospital providing obstetric care and 60 obstetric providers per 10,000 births.<sup>29</sup> Maternal health outcomes are also related to rural hospital closures. Between 2004-2014, 179 rural counties lost hospital-based obstetric services, leaving more than half of rural U.S. counties with no hospital-based obstetric services.<sup>30</sup>

Generally, utilization of primary care services and increases in evidence-based preventive health measures such as vaccination (127%), colonoscopy (122%), and mammography (75%) are linked.<sup>31</sup> However, there is no consensus on differences in utilization by rural and urban populations. A 2006 study of rural Medicare beneficiaries found that patients had 10% fewer provider visits than urban patients.<sup>1</sup> However, a more recent (2021) Medicare Payment Advisory Commission report found that rural and urban Medicare beneficiaries had similar healthcare utilization rates.<sup>32</sup> The relationship between geographic distribution and utilization should be studied further.

## Health Workforce Distribution is a Health Equity Issue

Maldistribution is a persistent problem that contributes to poorer health status and health disparities for people living in rural and underserved communities. Research shows that maldistribution of primary care providers in rural and underserved areas results in higher mortality and more ambulatory case-sensitive condition hospitalizations, exacerbating health disparities that already exist in these communities.

### Policies & Programs Designed to Address Maldistribution

Primary care workforce distribution is complex and dynamic, and the problem is unlikely to be solved through a single “silver bullet” solution. However, there are many policy and program levers that have the potential to help address this persistent problem, including changes in health professions' training, federal policies and programs, and health systems-level practice changes.

#### *Health Professions Training & Loan Repayment Programs*

As described in Evidence Review 2, a growing body of literature demonstrates the role of health professions' training programs in mitigating workforce distribution challenges, particularly for the physician workforce. While evidence suggests that growing up in a rural area is the most consistent factor leading to rural practice for physicians,<sup>33</sup> medical school applicants from rural backgrounds have declined in recent years.<sup>34</sup> Several schools employ strategies specifically to recruit students likely to practice in rural areas, ranging from career counseling and mentoring to academic enhancement and admissions preparation.<sup>35,36</sup>

Training programs may also benefit from partnering with community colleges and developing articulation agreements to identify and attract qualified applicants. Literature suggests that physicians who attended community college during their educational journey were 26% more likely to practice in underserved settings and were 47% more likely to train in family medicine.<sup>37,38</sup> However, medical schools may be less likely to select community college graduates than graduates of four-year universities who did not attend community college. One study found that applicants who attended a community college after high school before a four-year university were 68% less likely to be accepted to medical school compared to applicants who did not attend community college after controlling for personal and academic characteristics.<sup>38</sup>

Numerous studies show the impact of “imprinting” conferred by training location. Medical students trained and residents who completed their graduate medical education (GME) in rural settings were more likely to practice in those areas after completing their training.<sup>36,39-42</sup> In the next few years, new rural residency and rural training track programs funded by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) will become available and have the potential to

expand this impact.<sup>43</sup> In addition, career counseling and mentorship is critical in rural and underserved settings, where the patient population may experience social drivers of health that differ from those in more traditional urban education programs.<sup>35,44–46</sup>

Loan repayment and direct financial incentives have shown broad success in helping to remedy maldistribution.<sup>47</sup> The National Health Service Corps (NHSC) provides funding through scholarships and loan repayment in exchange for service in underserved areas. Scholarships have effectively addressed equity issues, while loan repayment programs target individuals after they have completed training and might be a more efficient means of addressing the maldistribution of providers. A study of 1,000 primary care providers in Florida found that participants of the NHSC were five times more likely to serve in rural areas than those who had never participated in the NHSC,<sup>48</sup> and 79% of NHSC participants continue to work in primary care HPSAs one year after completing their NHSC service.<sup>49</sup> However, one limitation of the NHSC model design is that providers must have a job or job offer before they are eligible. This means that many NHSC participants already work in those settings before applying. Those seeking new employment to qualify for loan repayment must go where practices exist rather than open new practices in areas of high need. Another obstacle to large-scale success of the program is funding. While demand to enter the NHSC program has grown over time, the budget has not kept pace with demand, resulting in providers who would participate being turned away.<sup>50</sup>

In addition to the NHSC, the Conrad 30 waiver program allows J-1 international medical graduates (IMGs) to waive the two-year foreign residency requirement after GME training to practice in a medically underserved area. IMGs participating in the Conrad program often remain with their waiver employers beyond their obligation period, and most spend over half their time serving primarily underserved patients.<sup>51</sup> In a California study of 432 IMGs, those with temporary visas were significantly more likely to intend to practice in HPSAs than U.S. graduates and permanent IMGs.<sup>52</sup> However, empirical studies of IMGs' work in underserved areas reveal mixed results, as the contribution of IMGs to the rural workforce varies greatly by state, and commitment to practice in these areas differs greatly by the IMG's birthplace.<sup>51,53–55</sup>

### ***Federal Policies & Programs***

The federal government plays a vital role in allocating grants, subsidies, and payments for health services. Federal designation as a provider shortage area is a critical aspect of determining the need for these subsidies. To do this, HRSA designates geographic areas with an inadequate supply of providers as Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSAs). As of 2021, 84 million people live in primary care HPSAs, 62 million in dental HPSAs, and 129 million in mental health HPSAs.<sup>56</sup> Over 30 federal programs rely on the HPSA designation to allocate public resources, including incentive payments.<sup>5,57,58</sup>

There has been a long-standing debate over ways to improve this measurement system, but like any system for allocating financial benefit, change activates those who could lose resources more than those who would gain. As a result, past efforts to update the methods have not resulted in change.<sup>5,58</sup> Specific steps to remediate concerns with HPSAs are accounting for the supply of advanced practice clinicians and adequately assessing population-level healthcare needs.

However, when the Department of Health and Human Services convened a rule-making committee in 2011 to revise the formula and recommended a revised index called the Index of Primary Care Needs,<sup>58</sup> new measures were not adopted.

Medicare also plays an outsized role in the area of workforce distribution, as it provides by far the largest funding stream for GME – estimated to be \$15 billion in 2018.<sup>59</sup> As Medicare GME links payments to the hospital setting, basing them on the number of residents a hospital trains and the number of Medicare patients it treats, larger hospitals – typically urban – are at a relative advantage compared with smaller – typically rural – hospitals.<sup>60,61</sup> This gap between larger urban and smaller rural hospitals became further entrenched after the passage of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, which set a cap on GME-funded resident positions;<sup>62</sup> small programs, including ones that were in the process of expanding, could not grow their programs – and obtain more funding – because of the cap. The Medicare Modernization Act of 2003 attempted to redistribute residency slots but resulted in a more extensive growth in non-primary care training than in primary care training, as well as few hospitals in rural areas receiving additional positions.<sup>61</sup> These policies have left an ongoing problem of residency training program maldistribution with few sites in rural settings or community-based health clinics. This affects both *specialty* distribution – by focusing on hospital-based specialties over primary care – and *geographic* distribution – by focusing on urban, academic settings. This becomes particularly concerning as most physicians practice in the state where they completed their GME.<sup>17</sup> Reassessing the GME formula could make funding available for redistribution to address other U.S. health workforce needs.<sup>63</sup>

In part to address shortcomings in the GME payment system, the Teaching Health Center (THC) GME program was funded in 2010 through the Affordable Care Act. It supports primary care physician training in Community Health Centers (CHCs) in rural and underserved communities by directing GME payments to community-based health centers, unlike Medicare, which links payments to hospitals. THC graduates must meet all the same didactic and practical requirements as residents in hospital-based settings but have far greater exposure to practice in rural and underserved outpatient settings. THC residents also have increased opportunities for mentorship – and potential imprinting – from providers currently practicing in these settings. A study published in 2021 finds that these graduates are more likely to practice in primary care, rural, and underserved than the general U.S. physician population.<sup>45</sup> However, the THC program has faced challenges related to long-term funding stability. While the American Rescue Plan<sup>64</sup> provides significant new funding to the Teaching Health Center Graduate Medical Education (THCGME) program (\$330 million), THCGME funding is just a small fraction of annual Medicare GME support, which was estimated to be approximately \$15 billion in 2018.<sup>59</sup>

Another critical federal funding stream sustains the network of Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) and Rural Health Clinics (RHCs). FQHCs and RHCs are the primary care safety net in the United States. They provide comprehensive health services to the medically underserved in urban and rural areas, regardless of their ability to pay. Of the 14,500 FQHC service sites, over 6,000 are rural.<sup>65</sup> In addition, nearly 4,800 RHCs provide care for rural populations. Both FQHCs receive HRSA grants and enhanced reimbursement rates in Medicare and Medicaid.<sup>66</sup> Both types of clinics are essential vehicles for recruiting practitioners into rural and underserved areas.<sup>67,68</sup>

Research suggests that FQHCs and RHCs improve access to primary care and improve outcomes, such as hospitalization rates among the uninsured.<sup>69–71</sup> However, both types of clinics continue to face continuing workforce shortages, and RHCs, in particular, have challenges with the retention of providers.<sup>72</sup>

Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) also serve as an important service provider in rural areas. The CAH designation was created through the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 in response to the closure of over 400 rural hospitals in the 1980s and 1990s. CAHs must be rural, at least 35 driving miles from another hospital (or 15 driving miles by secondary roads/mountainous terrain), and they receive enhanced reimbursement rates in Medicare and Medicaid. While some previous research suggested that CAHs offer a lower quality of care, more recent studies find similar surgical outcomes and patient satisfaction compared with non-critical access hospitals.<sup>73,74</sup>

### ***Emerging Models of Care***

Some health systems turn to nurse practitioners (NPs) and physician assistants (PAs) to increase overall supply and capacity. The presence of NPs is growing, and by 2016, NPs made up 25.2% of providers in rural and 23% in nonrural practices.<sup>75</sup> NPs and PAs perform as well as physicians on health outcomes and, in some cases, are better at patient communication and chronic disease management and are more likely to care for the underserved and practice in rural communities.<sup>76–78</sup> However, scope-of-practice (SOP) laws in many states prevent NPs and PAs from practicing at the top of their education and license.<sup>79,80</sup> Studies have shown that in states with expanded SOP for NPs, their numbers tend to increase,<sup>81</sup> leading to speculation that expanded SOP policies could improve access in rural areas.

A very successful model has been the hub-and-spoke approach used by Project ECHO, in which specialists at a Center of Excellence (the hub) connect virtually with rural and underserved community providers (the spokes) to support case-based learning for rural practitioners, with special attention to social determinants of health (housing, transportation, etc.).<sup>82</sup> Studies of Project ECHO find that “spoke” providers treat patients as effectively as the specialists at the “hub” and report that participation in Project ECHO has enhanced their professional satisfaction.<sup>83,84</sup> Project ECHO also serves as an example of how technology can address specific challenges in areas where primary care providers are few and far between.

Telemedicine has expanded in recent years and could serve as an avenue to increase access to care. Telehealth has proven effective for home-based diabetes, hypertension, and heart failure management.<sup>85</sup> However, before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, less than 2% of most providers offered outpatient visits via telehealth; 4–5% of mental health providers did so.<sup>86</sup> When the option of an in-person visit declined dramatically at the onset of the pandemic, the federal government<sup>87,88</sup> and states<sup>89,90</sup> rapidly implemented policies that expanded reimbursement for and modalities of telehealth to improve access. Recent research by Mullan Institute researchers (submitted for publication in 2021) finds that telehealth uptake did improve among rural populations during COVID-19, but it remains to be seen whether these policies will become permanent, therefore allowing telehealth as a viable strategy to address access to care challenges where there are problems of maldistribution.

## **Policies and Programs that Impact Health Workforce Distribution**

Evidence suggests that Medicare GME and scope of practice laws for advanced practice clinicians represent barriers to improving health workforce distribution. One policy with a mixed effect is the designation of HPSAs, which allocates federal resources in underserved areas but is overdue for updating the designation formula. Policies and programs that can improve distribution include:

- recruitment of medical students from rural areas,
- partnerships between medical schools and community colleges,
- rural training tracks for graduate medical education programs,
- career counseling and mentoring in rural and underserved settings,
- scholarships and loan repayment programs such as the National Health Service Corps, and
- hub-and-spoke models of care in rural settings such as Project ECHO.

## References

1. Chan L, Hart LG, Goodman DC. Geographic access to health care for rural Medicare beneficiaries. *J Rural Health*. 2006;22(2):140-146. doi:10.1111/j.1748-0361.2006.00022.x
2. Barreto T, Jetty A, Eden AR, Petterson S, Bazemore A, Peterson LE. Distribution of physician specialties by rurality. *J Rural Health*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1111/jrh.12548
3. Rosenblatt RA, Chen FM, Lishner DM, Doescher MP, Skillman SM. The future of family medicine and implications for rural primary care physician supply. Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, Idaho Rural Health Research Center. August 2010. Accessed May 13, 2022. [https://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/uploads/RHRC\\_FR125\\_Rosenblatt.pdf](https://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/uploads/RHRC_FR125_Rosenblatt.pdf)
4. Antono B, Bazemore A, Dankwa-Mullan I, et al. Primary care in the United States: a chartbook of facts and statistics. Robert Graham Center. October 2020. Accessed May 13, 2022. [www.graham-center.org/content/dam/rgc/documents/publications-reports/reports/PrimaryCareChartbook2021.pdf](http://www.graham-center.org/content/dam/rgc/documents/publications-reports/reports/PrimaryCareChartbook2021.pdf)
5. U.S. Government Accountability Office. Primary Care Professionals: Recent Supply Trends, Projections, and Valuation of Services. 2008. GAO-08-472T. Accessed May 13, 2022. [www.gao.gov/assets/gao-08-472t.pdf](http://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-08-472t.pdf)
6. Merritt K, Jabbarpour Y, Petterson S, Westfall JM. State-level variation in primary care physician density. *Am Fam Physician*. 2021;104(2):133-134.
7. Walker J, Quaile M, Tumin D. Rural employment of health care workers: a longitudinal cohort study. *J Rural Health*. 2020;0:1-9. doi:10.1111/jrh.12541
8. Miller K, Miller K, Pink G, Holmes M, Kaufman B, Kaufman BG. Access to outpatient services in rural communities changes after hospital closure. *Health Serv Res*. Published online June 25, 2021. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13694
9. Hung P, Casey MM, Kozhimannil KB, Karaca-Mandic P, Moscovice IS. Rural-urban differences in access to hospital obstetric and neonatal care: how far is the closest one? *J Perinatol*. 2018;38(6):645-652. doi:10.1038/s41372-018-0063-5
10. Sandy LG, Bodenheimer T, Pawlson LG, Starfield B. The political economy of U.S. primary care. *Health Aff Proj Hope*. 2009;28(4):1136-1145. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.28.4.1136
11. Rosenblatt RA, Andrilla CHA, Curtin T, Hart LG. Shortages of medical personnel at community health centers: implications for planned expansion. *JAMA*. 2006;295(9):1042. doi:10.1001/jama.295.9.1042
12. Helland LC, Westfall JM, Camargo CA, Rogers J, Ginde AA. Motivations and barriers for recruitment of new emergency medicine residency graduates to rural emergency departments. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2010;56(6):668-673.e1. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2010.06.561
13. Health Resources and Services Administration. Distribution of U.S. Health Care Providers Residing in Rural and Urban Areas. National Center for Health Workforce Analysis. Accessed May 13, 2022. <https://bhw.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bureau-health-workforce/data-research/nchwa-fact-sheet.pdf>
14. Williams TE, Satiani B, Ellison EC. A comparison of future recruitment needs in urban and rural hospitals: The rural imperative. *Surgery*. 2011;150(4):617-625. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2011.07.047

15. Gluck M. Rapid Evidence Review: What are effective approaches for recruiting and retaining rural primary care health professionals? *Academy Health*. December 2017. Accessed May 13, 2022. [https://academyhealth.org/sites/default/files/Rural\\_Workforce\\_RER\\_Final.pdf](https://academyhealth.org/sites/default/files/Rural_Workforce_RER_Final.pdf)
16. Phillips Jr. R, Dodoo M, Petterson S, et al. Specialty and geographic distribution of the physician workforce: what influences medical student & resident choices? Robert Graham Center. March 2, 2009. Accessed May 13, 2022. <https://www.graham-center.org/dam/rgc/documents/publications-reports/monographs-books/Specialty-geography-compressed.pdf>
17. Blanchard J, Petterson S, Bazemore A, Watkins K, Mullan F. Characteristics and distribution of graduate medical education training sites. *Acad Med*. 2016;91(10):1416-1422. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000001184
18. Lultschik JL, Martin CJ. Preventive medicine for rural America: why more training programs must be here. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. 2021;27(Suppl 3):S151-S154. doi:10.1097/PHH.0000000000001309
19. Hyppolite J, Antono B, Petterson S, Jabbarpour Y. Physician employment eclipses practice ownership: the ongoing trend and its effect on family medicine. *Am Fam Physician*. 2021;104(4):351-352.
20. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. *Milbank Q*. 2005;83(3):457-502. doi:10.1111/J.1468-0009.2005.00409.X
21. Basu S, Berkowitz SA, Phillips RL, Bitton A, Landon BE, Phillips RS. Association of primary care physician supply with population mortality in the United States, 2005-2015. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179(4):506-514. doi:10.1001/JAMAINTERNMED.2018.7624
22. Chang CH, Stukel TA, Flood AB, Goodman DC. Primary care physician workforce and Medicare beneficiaries' health outcomes. *JAMA*. 2011;305(20):2096-2104. doi:10.1001/JAMA.2011.665
23. Starfield B, Shi L, Grover A, Macinko J. The effects of specialist supply on populations' health: assessing the evidence. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2005;Suppl Web Exclusives:W5-W107. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.w5.97
24. Chang CH, O'Malley AJ, Goodman DC. Association between temporal changes in primary care workforce and patient outcomes. *Health Serv Res*. 2017;52(2):634-655. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.12513
25. Laditka JN, Laditka SB, Probst JC. Health care access in rural areas: evidence that hospitalization for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions in the United States may increase with the level of rurality. *Health Place*. 15:761-770. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2008.12.007
26. Bolin JN, Bellamy GR, Ferdinand AO, et al. Rural healthy people 2020: new decade, same challenges. *J Rural Health*. 2015;31(3):326-333. doi:10.1111/jrh.12116
27. Buzza C, Ono SS, Turvey C, et al. Distance is relative: unpacking a principal barrier in rural healthcare. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26(S2):648-654. doi:10.1007/s11606-011-1762-1
28. Snyder JE, Stahl AL, Streeter RA, Washko MM. Regional variations in maternal mortality and health workforce availability in the United States. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020;173(11):S45-S54. doi:10.7326/M19-3254

29. Wallace M, Dyer L, Felker-Kantor E, et al. Maternity care deserts and pregnancy-associated mortality in Louisiana. *Womens Health Issues*. 2021;31(2):122-129. doi:10.1016/j.whi.2020.09.004
30. Hung P, Henning-Smith CE, Casey MM, Kozhimannil KB. Access to obstetric services in rural counties still declining, with 9 percent losing services, 2004-14. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2017;36(9):1663-1671. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2017.0338
31. Hostetter J, Schwarz N, Klug M, Wynne J, Basson MD. Primary care visits increase utilization of evidence-based preventative health measures. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2020;21(1):151. doi:10.1186/s12875-020-01216-8
32. O'Donnell B, Soucie CS, Stensland J. Congressional Request: Medicare Beneficiaries' Access to Care in Rural Areas (Interim Report). Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. March 4, 2021. Accessed May 13, 2022. [https://www.medpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/import\\_data/scrape\\_files/docs/default-source/meeting-materials/rural-mandate-medpac-march-2021.pdf](https://www.medpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/import_data/scrape_files/docs/default-source/meeting-materials/rural-mandate-medpac-march-2021.pdf)
33. Hempel S, Gibbons MM, Ulloa JG, et al. Rural Healthcare Workforce: A Systematic Review. Washington (DC): Department of Veterans Affairs (U.S.); December 2015.
34. Shipman SA, Wendling A, Jones KC, Kovar-Gough I, Orłowski JM, Phillips J. The decline in rural medical students: a growing gap in geographic diversity threatens the rural physician workforce. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2019;38(12):2011-2018. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2019.00924
35. Schmitz DF, Evans DV, Holly C, et al. Challenges and best practices for implementing rurally targeted admissions in U.S. medical schools. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2020;31(4):17. doi:10.1353/hpu.2020.0156
36. Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Santana AJ. Increasing the supply of rural family physicians: recent outcomes from Jefferson Medical College's Physician Shortage Area Program (PSAP). *Acad Med*. 2011;86(2):264-269. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e31820469d6
37. Talamantes E, Jerant A, Henderson MC, et al. Community college pathways to medical school and family medicine residency training. *Ann Fam Med*. 2018;16(4):302-307. doi:10.1370/afm.2270
38. Talamantes E, Mangione CM, Gonzalez K, Jimenez A, Gonzalez F, Moreno G. Community college pathways: improving the U.S. physician workforce pipeline. *Acad Med*. 2014;89(12):1649-1656. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000000438
39. Wheat JR, Leeper JD, Murphy S, Brandon JE, Jackson JR. Educating physicians for rural America: validating successes and identifying remaining challenges with the Rural Medical Scholars Program. *J Rural Health*. 2018;34:s65-s74. doi:10.1111/jrh.12236
40. MacDowell M, Glasser M, Hunsaker M. A decade of rural physician workforce outcomes for the Rockford Rural Medical Education (RMED) Program, University of Illinois. *Acad Med*. 2013;88(12):1941-1947. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000000031
41. Zink T, Center B, Finstad D, et al. Efforts to graduate more primary care physicians and physicians who will practice in rural areas: examining outcomes from the University of Minnesota-Duluth and the Rural Physician Associate Program. *Acad Med*. 2010;85(4):599-604. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181d2b537
42. Goodfellow A, Ulloa JG, Dowling PT, et al. Predictors of primary care physician practice location in underserved urban or rural areas in the United States. *Acad Med*. 2016;91(9):1313-1321. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000001203

43. RuralGME.org. Rural graduate medical education. Accessed January 5, 2022. [www.ruralgme.org/](http://www.ruralgme.org/)
44. Halaas GW, Zink T, Finstad D, Bolin K, Center B. Recruitment and retention of rural physicians: outcomes from the Rural Physician Associate Program of Minnesota. *J Rural Health*. 2008;24(4):345-352. doi:10.1111/J.1748-0361.2008.00180.X
45. Strasser JH, Jewers MM, Kepley H, Chen C, Erikson C, Regenstein M. A mixed-methods study of teaching health center residents' experiences of mentorship, career planning, and post-residency practice environments. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll*. Published online September 21, 2021. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000004419
46. Zink T, Halaas GW, Finstad D, Brooks KD. The Rural Physician Associate Program: the value of immersion learning for third-year medical students. *J Rural Health*. 2008;24(4):353-359. doi:10.1111/J.1748-0361.2008.00181.X
47. Pathman DE, Konrad TR, King TS, Taylor DH, Koch GG. Outcomes of states' scholarship, loan repayment, and related programs for physicians. *Med Care*. 2004;42(6):560-568. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000128003.81622.ef
48. Brooks RG, Mardon R, Clawson A. The rural physician workforce in Florida: a survey of U.S.- and foreign-born primary care physicians. *J Rural Health*. 2003;19(4):484-491. doi:10.1111/J.1748-0361.2003.TB00586.X
49. Negrusa S, Hogan P, Ghosh P, Watkins L. National Health Service Corps – An Extended Analysis. The Lewin Group; 2016. <https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/private/pdf/255496/NHSCanalysis.pdf>
50. Billings AN, Jabbarpour Y, Westfall J. The National Health Service Corps at 50 years. *Am Fam Physician*. 2022;105(2):129-130.
51. Kahn TR, Hagopian A, Johnson K. Retention of J-1 visa waiver program physicians in Washington state's health professional shortage areas. *Acad Med*. 2010;85(4):614-621. doi:10.1097/ACM.0B013E3181D2AD1D
52. Ogunyemi D, Edelstein R. Career intentions of U.S. medical graduates and international medical graduates. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2007;99(10):1132.
53. Thompson MJ, Hagopian A, Fordyce M, Hart LG. Do International medical graduates (IMGs) "Fill the Gap" in rural primary care in the United States? A National Study. *J Rural Health*. 2009;25(2):124-134. doi:10.1111/J.1748-0361.2009.00208.X
54. Mertz E, Jain R, Breckler J, Chen E, Grumbach K. Foreign versus domestic education of physicians for the United States: a case study of physicians of South Asian ethnicity in California. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2007;18(4):984-993. doi:10.1353/hpu.2007.0100
55. Crouse BJ, Munson RL. The effect of the physician J-1 visa waiver on rural Wisconsin. *WMJ*. 2006;105(7):16-20.
56. Health Resources and Services Administration. Shortage Areas. Accessed September 27, 2021. <https://data.hrsa.gov/topics/health-workforce/shortage-areas>
57. Diaz A, Merath K, Bagante F, et al. Surgical procedures in Health Professional Shortage Areas: impact of a surgical incentive payment plan. *Surgery*. 2018;164(2):189-194. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2018.03.017
58. Babitz M, Brassard B, Brooks R, et al. Negotiated rulemaking committee on the designation of medically underserved populations and Health Professional Shortage Areas

- final report to the secretary. October 31, 2011. Accessed May 13, 2022.  
[www.ruralhealthinfo.org/assets/3262-13308/nrmcfinalreport.pdf](http://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/assets/3262-13308/nrmcfinalreport.pdf)
59. Chen C, Chung Y, Broadbent G, Mertz E. Medicare support for dental and podiatry graduate medical education programs. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(5):e2111797. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11797
  60. Mullan F, Chen C, Steinmetz E. The geography of graduate medical education: imbalances signal need for new distribution policies. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2013;32(11):1914-1921. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0545
  61. Chen C, Xierali I, Piwnica-Worms K, Phillips R. The redistribution of graduate medical education positions in 2005 failed to boost primary care or rural training. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2013;32(1):102-110. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2012.0032
  62. Phillips RL, Fryer GE, Chen FM, et al. The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 and the financial health of teaching hospitals. *Ann Fam Med*. 2004;2(1):71-78. doi:10.1370/afm.17
  63. Chen C, Chung Y, Petterson S, Bazemore A. Changes and variation in Medicare graduate medical education payments. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180(1):148-150. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.4429
  64. HHS announces record health care workforce awards in rural and underserved communities. Press release. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. November 22, 2021. Accessed February 14, 2022. [www.hhs.gov/about/news/2021/11/22/hhs-announces-record-health-care-workforce-awards-in-rural-underserved-communities.html](http://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2021/11/22/hhs-announces-record-health-care-workforce-awards-in-rural-underserved-communities.html)
  65. America's health centers. National Association of Community Health Centers [www.nachc.org/research-and-data/research-fact-sheets-and-infographics/](http://www.nachc.org/research-and-data/research-fact-sheets-and-infographics/)
  66. Number of Medicare certified rural health clinics. Kaiser Family Foundation. January 22, 2021. Accessed January 18, 2022. <https://www.kff.org/other/state-indicator/total-rural-health-clinics/>
  67. Flinter M. From new nurse practitioner to primary care provider: bridging the transition through FQHC-based residency training. *Online J Issues Nurs*. 2011;17(1):6.
  68. Flinter M. Residency programs for primary care nurse practitioners in federally qualified health centers: a service perspective. *Online J Issues Nurs*. 2005;10(3):6.
  69. Markus AR, Pillai D. Mapping the Location of health centers in relation to "maternity care deserts": associations with utilization of women's health providers and services. *Med Care*. 2021;59(Suppl 5):S434-S440. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000001611
  70. Lewis VA, Spivack S, Murray GF, Rodriguez HP. FQHC designation and safety net patient revenue associated with primary care practice capabilities for access and quality. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2021;36(10):2922-2928. doi:10.1007/s11606-021-06746-0
  71. Probst JC, Laditka JN, Laditka SB. Association between community health center and rural health clinic presence and county-level hospitalization rates for ambulatory care sensitive conditions: an analysis across eight U.S. states. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2009;9(1):134. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-9-134
  72. Wright B, Damiano PC, Bentler SE. Implementation of the Affordable Care Act and rural health clinic capacity in Iowa. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2015;6(1):61-65. doi:10.1177/2150131914542613

73. Ibrahim AM, Hughes TG, Thumma JR, Dimick JB. Association of hospital critical access status with surgical outcomes and expenditures among Medicare beneficiaries. *JAMA*. 2016;315(19):2095-2103. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.5618
74. JaKa MM, Dinh JM, Ziegenfuss JY, et al. Patient and care team perspectives of telemedicine in critical access hospitals. *J Hosp Med*. 2020;15(6):345-348. doi:10.12788/jhm.3412
75. Barnes H, Richards MR, McHugh MD, Martsof G. Rural and nonrural primary care physician practices increasingly rely on nurse practitioners. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2018;37(6):908-914. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2017.1158
76. Naylor MD, Kurtzman ET. The role of nurse practitioners in reinventing primary care. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2010;29(5):893-899. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2010.0440
77. Poghosyan L, Lucero R, Rauch L, Berkowitz B. Nurse practitioner workforce: a substantial supply of primary care providers. *Nurs Econ*. 2012;30(5):268-274, 294.
78. Everett CM, Schumacher JR, Wright A, Smith MA. Physician assistants and nurse practitioners as a usual source of care. *J Rural Health Off J Am Rural Health Assoc Natl Rural Health Care Assoc*. 2009;25(4):407-414. doi:10.1111/j.1748-0361.2009.00252.x
79. PA scope of practice laws. Barton Associates. Updated June 4, 2021. Accessed October 15, 2021. [www.bartonassociates.com/locum-tenens-resources/pa-scope-of-practice-laws](http://www.bartonassociates.com/locum-tenens-resources/pa-scope-of-practice-laws)
80. State practice environment. American Association of Nurse Practitioners. Accessed February 11, 2022. [www.aanp.org/advocacy/state/state-practice-environment](http://www.aanp.org/advocacy/state/state-practice-environment)
81. Westat. Impact of State Scope of Practice Laws and Other Factors on the Practice and Supply of Primary Care Nurse Practitioners. Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. November 16, 2015. Accessed May 13, 2022. [https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/private/pdf/167396/NP\\_SOP.pdf](https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/private/pdf/167396/NP_SOP.pdf)
82. Brodwin E. How a virtual mentoring program brings care closer to rural patients. *STAT*. November 11, 2021. Accessed November 12, 2021. <https://www.statnews.com/2021/11/11/project-echo-rural-health-care-telemedicine/>
83. Arora S, Kalishman S, Thornton K, et al. Expanding access to hepatitis C virus treatment—Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (ECHO) project: disruptive innovation in specialty care. *Hepatology*. 2010;52(3):1124-1133. doi:10.1002/hep.23802
84. Arora S, Thornton K, Murata G, et al. Outcomes of treatment for hepatitis C virus infection by primary care providers. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(23):2199-2207. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1009370
85. Bodenheimer TS, Smith MD. Primary care: proposed solutions to the physician shortage without training more physicians. *Health Aff Proj Hope*. 2013;32(11):1881-1886. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0234
86. Patel SY, Mehrotra A, Huskamp HA, Uscher-Pines L, Ganguli I, Barnett ML. Variation in telemedicine use and outpatient care during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2021;40(2):349-358. doi:10.1377/HLTHAFF.2020.01786
87. Verma S. Early Impact of CMS expansion of Medicare telehealth during COVID-19. *Health Affairs Forefront*. July 15, 2020. Accessed August 30, 2021. doi:10.1377/hblog20200715.454789

88. Ellimoottil C. Understanding the case for telehealth payment parity. Health Affairs Forefront. May 10, 2021. Accessed September 16, 2021.  
[doi:10.1377/hblog20210503.625394](https://doi.org/10.1377/hblog20210503.625394)
89. States' actions to expand telemedicine access during COVID-19. The Commonwealth Fund. June 23, 2021. Accessed August 17, 2021.  
[www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2021/jun/states-actions-expand-telemedicine-access-covid-19](http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2021/jun/states-actions-expand-telemedicine-access-covid-19)
90. COVID-19 state actions for telehealth policy. Center for Connected Health Policy. Accessed August 17, 2021. [www.cchpca.org/covid-19-actions/](http://www.cchpca.org/covid-19-actions/)