

# Community Health Centers Employ Diverse Staffing Patterns That Can Provide Productivity Lessons For Medical Practices

Leighton Ku, Bianca Frogner,  
Erika Steinmetz, & Polly Pittman

Funded by HRSA cooperative agreement to the  
GW Health Workforce Research Center

Thanks to the Office of Data and Quality, BPHC, HRSA  
for access to UDS data



Milken Institute School  
of Public Health

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

THE GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC


# Transforming Primary Care Practice

- Pending shortage of primary care physicians and quality improvement efforts will require expanded use of non-physician clinicians in team-based care.
- Community health centers (CHCs) have been doing this for many years.
- CHCs in medically underserved areas. Often had adjust due to problems hiring and retaining primary care physicians, while maintaining quality of care.
- CHC experience is instructive for other group practices. Number of physicians in CHCs comparable to general medical practice size. Difference is use of non-physician staff.

# Medical Staffing and Productivity

- Key issue in staffing is productivity: how staffing affects the number of medical visits and revenue.
- Productivity usually measured by # visits (or patients) per physician (or advanced practice clinician). Other staff are not counted.
- But in typical visit a medical asst may take vitals, doctor may evaluate and diagnose, and nurse might draw blood or provide education.
- From joint productivity basis, we could say MD produces 75% of visit, med asst 10% and nurse 15%, together creating 1.0 visit.

# Medical Staff Composition in Community Health Centers: Overall and for the Four Staffing Clusters



# Marginal Productivity by Staff and Cluster: # Additional Weighted Visits per Staff Person

	Physicians	Advanced Practice Staff	Nurses	Other Medical Staff
Overall CHCs	2994**	1584**	292	548**
"Typical"	3370**	1546**	347	265
High Adv Practice	2761**	2287*	4	-727
High Nurse	2086**	198	1407**	357
High Other Medical Staff	2923**	1664**	-788	744**

\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*  $p < .001$

Based on OLS regression with no constant and with robust standard errors

# Conclusions

- Medical practices can use more non-physician staff to increase visits, although physicians contribute most to productivity.
- No clear optimal staffing pattern. Productivity seems similar across different staffing patterns.
- Some issues regarding roles of nurses and other medical staff (e.g., medical assistants)
- Need finer-grained look to see how staff interact to form teams and why different arrangements are used.